UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PLAINTIFF K.R. Case No. 3:23-cv-06313 Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

DECLARATION OF ROOPAL P. LUHANA IN SUPPORT OF CHAFFIN LUHANA'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF K.R.

I, Roopal P. Luhana, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney in the law firm of Chaffin Luhana LLP. I am a member of the State Bar of New York and am admitted Pro Hac Vice to practice before this Court. I make this declaration based on my own personal knowledge. If called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently to the truth of the matters stated herein.
- 2. Plaintiff K.R. has not substantively responded to any efforts to reach her made by my firm since October 1, 2024.
- 3. Plaintiff K.R.'s failure to communicate with our firm has presented a circumstance covered by Rule 1.16(b)(4) of the California Rules of Professional Conduct. We are unable to meet discovery deadlines and otherwise prosecute her case without her input. It is my opinion that our withdrawal from the case has become necessary and is appropriate.
- 4. Under California Rule of Professional Conduct 1.16(d), my firm has taken all reasonably available steps to avoid prejudice to the rights of Plaintiff K.R.
- a. Before and throughout the time period described below, Plaintiff K.R. received numerous letters, emails, text messages, and telephone calls requesting she contact our firm. In these

28

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

unanswered communications, we repeatedly explained the need for her continued participation in the case, the risk that her case may be dismissed, the reasons we would need to withdraw as counsel absent contact.

- b. On October 1, my firm sent Plaintiff four (4) text messages informing her of our efforts to try to contact her, the importance of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet document, its deadlines, and the importance of being timely.
- c. On October 2, 2024, my firm sent Plaintiff an additional text message that went unanswered informing her of our efforts to try to contact her, the importance of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet document, its deadlines, and the importance of being timely.
- d. On October 3, 2024, my firm sent Plaintiff an additional text message and four (4) phone calls that went unanswered informing her of our efforts to try to contact her, the importance of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet document, its deadlines, and the importance of being timely.
- e. On October 4, 2024, my firm sent Plaintiff an additional text message and phone call that went unanswered informing her of the deadline that Plaintiff's Plaintiff Fact Sheet must be submitted, and the risk of her case being dismissed with prejudice.
- f. On October 16, my firm sent Plaintiff a letter via email and FedEx informing her of my firm's contact efforts and informing her again of the impossibility of moving forward if we do not hear from her. My firm informed Plaintiff that if we did not hear back from Plaintiff by October 23, 2024, and receive the necessary documentation required to move her case forward, we would have no choice but to withdraw as counsel for her case.
- g. On October 18, 2024, we were informed that Plaintiff received and opened my firm's email, and that FedEx has successfully delivered our letter.
- 5. During this time period, we met and conferred with opposing counsel on issues surrounding this case, and requested and received two (2) discovery extensions for Plaintiff's Plaintiff Fact Sheet.
 - 6. To date, my firm has not yet heard from Plaintiff since October 1, 2024.
- 7. Our withdrawal from this case will not impact the timing or schedule of this litigation, and we have taken all reasonable steps possible to avoid prejudice to Plaintiff and informed her of the consequences of failing to comply with case deadlines.